

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
JAN 17 2006

facsimile transmittal

To: Zeev Kitov Fax:
From: Walter Stumberger Date: 1/17/06
Re: App # 10/776,102 Pages: 2
CC:
☐ Urgent ☒ For Review ☐ Please Comment ☐ Please Reply ☐ Please Recycle

Notes:

Please review the attached sheets.

*I will continue to call
to set up an appointment
Walt -*

WALTER STUMBERGER
203 Dutch Neck Road
Hightstown, NJ 08520
609 443 9001

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
JAN 17 2006

January 17, 2006

Dear Mr. Kitov,

Thank you for reviewing my Patent Application #10/776102.

I tried to contact you by phone but have not been able to reach you yet. I am sure it is just a matter of my poor timing.

Before we can proceed with a meaningful discussion I think it is necessary that we come to a mutual understanding of some terms and definitions so that we can have a common grounds for communications. If we cannot agree on these then we need to deal at a lower level until we do reach a common level of understanding on items 1-4 below.

First, my application #10/776102 reveals the use of both dielectric conduits AND non-dielectric conduits in the same system at the same time. This is new art and it is critical to the invention performing its role. A device that requires the use of both "A" AND "B" is very different from a device that uses either one of "A" OR "B" as defined in that invention's specification. This is not an obvious derivation from prior art since the inventor, who created that previous embodiment, clearly had the opportunity to claim this embodiment if he recognized the existence of this level of this art.

Second, "plastic" is not an electrical characteristic. It has no electrical definition in any scientific reference. Anyone schooled in the art would know this.

Third, "Air" is not considered a dielectric in any standard scientific reference. Compressed air traveling through a pipe (and therefore agitated) is ionic and by definition a conductor.

Fourth, I cannot find the word "dielectric" in US 3,953,787 as indicated in your letter. Can you point me to the specific section and paragraph?

Fifth, although my invention uses torque converters as components it does not claim any advance in the art of invent torque converters. Your reference to US 5,419,128 and how it works is very obscure.

I look forward to working with you and hope we can converse soon. The best number to reach me at is 609 490 0794 but I am rarely in my office. It would be best to set up an appointment so that I can be prepared and organized.

Best regards,
Walt Stumberger

